The Scrum Skills Series

Retrospectives, Part I – Getting Started

By

Henrik Berglund, Agile Coach Cedur AB

www.cedur.se
Abstract

Running an effective retrospective meeting in a short time is very challenging.

This paper presents a framework for running Scrum retrospectives that has been proven to work well in practice. It covers how to prepare for the meeting and the purpose and mechanics of each step. It also contains a lot of notes and tips for the ScrumMaster, who will usually be the one facilitating the meeting.

If you would like to improve your retrospectives, I invite you to try this approach out for a few retrospectives. After that, evaluate how it works for you. No matter what you find, I’m sure you will have learned a few things along the way.
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Why bother?
So, let’s first cover this

Q: “Why should I care about retrospectives?”

A: Because it will make your life better!

Pretty good reason, isn’t it! If you want to know how that works, read on:

In a study from 2010, Amabile and Kramer asked hundreds of knowledge workers to write diaries and rate each day as good or bad. After analyzing thousands of entries, they found that “making progress” was linked to 76 percent of employees’ reported “best days.” Also, “support for making progress” were their No. 1 motivator. “On days when workers have the sense they’re making headway in their jobs...their emotions are most positive and their drive to succeed is at its peak.”

However, “on days when they feel they are spinning their wheels or encountering roadblocks to meaningful accomplishment, their moods and motivation are lowest.”

Making progress and removing roadblocks is what retrospectives are all about, so this is a really good reason to hold them. When you get your retrospectives working they will make work more enjoyable!

In this paper I will present some techniques for retrospectives that I have found to work well in practice. My hope is that this will inspire you to run even better retrospectives that will improve life at work for your team!

---

1 In the Scrum framework, the team holds a retrospective after each sprint to reflect on the work performed. The meeting should result in actions to be takes during the next sprint, to make things work better.

2 In practice, it is not uncommon that teams struggle a bit with the retrospective and eventually stop holding them to focus on things that they feel actually add value. That’s why I wrote this paper. I hope some teams will give the ideas presented here a try and that it will contribute to making their lives at work better.
A framework to use
You usually only have a very short time to run a retrospective meeting, typically 1-2 hours. The structured approach I will show you below has many advantages when it comes to getting the most out of that time. So here we go:

**Step1 – Check in**
The longer it takes before a person speaks at a meeting, the greater the risk that he/she starts dozing off and starts thinking about other things.

So, if you are the meeting facilitator, don’t talk and talk at the start of the meeting!

Instead get everyone involved from the start by asking a simple question. E.g. “Summarize how you feel about the last sprint in a word or two”. Make this a quick pass around the table. Get it off to a good start by setting an example yourself, e.g. say “frustrated, hopeful”

**Step 2 – Timeline**

Draw a line on a whiteboard, mark the weeks of your sprint on the line. Ask everyone to write down events that happened during the sprint that was of importance to them. This can be small and big things, good, bad and whatever.

When a person has written down the event on a sticky note, he/she should immediately put it up at the appropriate place on the timeline and read it out/explain it to the others. If the rest of the team has questions here about what the person means, it is ok to ask to clarify, besides from that, only the person that hangs up the note talks.

One purpose of this exercise is to jog the memory of the team.

Also, even if you have worked together in a team room during the complete sprint, everyone will not have the exact same perception on why things played out the way they did. By creating the timeline we build a common history of the events that has passed.

Why should only one person at a time talk? Because this makes the process move fast and it gives everyone a chance to make their voice heard without interruption. Also, it minimizes risk for conflict and avoids filling the time with lengthy discussions about non-prioritized issues.
Step 3 – What was good?

Next, let everyone write sticky notes about all good things that have happened during the sprint. As in the previous step, as soon as a note is written it should be put on the whiteboard and the person should read it out/explain it. Except for questions clarifying the intention of the note, nobody speaks but the person that wrote it. It is ok to move notes from the timeline also.

One purpose of this step is to take the time to acknowledge all the positive things that has happened. If you only focus on problems in your retrospectives, it won’t be a very fun meeting. After a while you might not really look forward to another full hour of complaining.

Another reason is that we want to take our time to build a safe and positive environment before moving on to the next step where we will be dealing with things that we would like to improve on. These can be sensitive issues. If we can establish a safe and positive feeling before we start with that, more things will get out in the open.

Perhaps on a slightly unrelated note: Researchers have found that a lot of the conflict that goes on in marriage is due to personal differences that are not possible to resolve. Instead what makes marriage work is not the absence of conflict. It is the ratio of positive/negative comments that matters. At least a five to one ratio is needed to make the relationship last!

I read somewhere that for teamwork the corresponding ratio is three to one! So, don’t be shy when it comes to listing all the good things that has happened! A lot of positive events are needed to balance the everyday troubles that all teams are facing.
Step 4 – What can be improved

In this step each person writes notes about things that could be improved. Except for that, the procedure is exactly the same as in the previous two steps.

Avoid putting your suggested solution or analysis of the problem on the note, just write down the problem and the team will discuss probable causes and possible solutions later.

I don’t often see teams blaming others in this step, but if you like, remind the team about the retrospective prime directive before starting:

“Regardless of what we discover, we understand and truly believe that everyone did the best job they could, given what they knew at the time, their skills and abilities, the resources available, and the situation at hand.” (2)

If the team is familiar with the Responsibility Redefined™ (3) process as described by Christopher Avery, you could also put one of those posters up on the whiteboard. This will serve as a reminder not to run away from problems by blaming others, blaming the circumstances etc.
Step 5 – Group and prioritize

Now you have lots of notes with things that could be improved on the board.

Get the team to stand in front of the board. Basically we don’t want the same problem to be on multiple notes. Ask everyone to work together to group similar notes together and to assign a name to them that summarizes the issue on all of them.

Then count the number of groups and non-grouped notes and divide this by two or three. This is the number of votes that each team member gets. For example if you have 10 issues, pick a number from 3 to 5.

Each person then casts the selected number of votes on the issues that he/she would be most interested working on during the next sprint. Of course the issues selected should be important ones also, but if nobody is interested in working on them it is no point selecting them anyway. The votes are laid by making one dot per vote using a whiteboard marker. You can put all dots on one group/issue or split them as you like over several issues.

Count the votes. We now have a prioritized list with issues that the team would like to work on. Pick one or two that you would like to continue working on.
Step 6 – Analysis (optional)

If time permits, for each issue that you selected, discuss what the root cause(s) might be. A simple way to do this is to use “Five why” analysis. E.g.

- Why did we miss the deadline? -> Development of new features was slow.
- Why was it slow -> Because we were interrupted by lots of trouble reports.
- Why were we interrupted by a lot of trouble reports? -> Because we release a lot of defects to our customers.
- Why did we release a lot of defects to our customers? -> Because we did not test the release properly.
- Why did we not test the release properly? -> Because we did not have time to run the test suites.
- Why did we not have time to run the test suites? -> Because they are all manual and it takes days?
- Why are they all manual? -> Because we don’t have time to/don’t know how to write automated tests.
- ...

When you have done this for a while (typically at least five times) you will end up with one or several root causes. Addressing these will be more effective than addressing the more obvious symptoms.

A way I like even more is cause-effect diagrams, see the example in the illustration above. A great description about that has been made available by Henrik Kniberg (4). Creating cause-effect diagrams takes some time though. If you have a two hour retrospective you usually can make some good progress on it.

If you have shorter retrospectives and/or some difficult problems, consider bringing the cause—effect analysis as an item to your sprint planning and ask the product owner to allocate some time to it during the next sprint.

For working on bigger things a really interesting approach is the A3 process pioneered at Toyota. I have not seen it used much in software development organizations, but I mention it here anyway since I think that it could really be a great way to get tough issues resolved. If you are interested in that, a great book on the subject is “Managing to Learn” by John Shook (5).
Step 7 – Countermeasures

The final step is to list all issues you selected, or their root causes if you took the time to find them in the previous step.

For each issue try to think of a few countermeasures that you think would help mitigate the situation. Next select one or two that you would like to try during the next sprint.

For each countermeasure:

- Define what you think will be the situation after you have applied it.
- Assign someone to be responsible for that the countermeasure will be put in place.
- Make a time plan for applying the countermeasure, including a date when the effect will be checked.
Suggested times for each step

Depending on the length of your retrospective, here are some suggestions on how to use the time during the retrospective:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Retrospective length</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 hours (standard length for three week sprints)</td>
<td>1,5 hour (standard length for two week sprints)</td>
<td>1 hour (some people prefer this)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check in</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time line</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What was good?</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can be improved</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group and prioritize</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee break</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countermeasures</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switch time (for use to switch between activates)</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you use really short retrospectives, like 1 hour, don’t expect to analyze and resolve difficult issues during the retrospective. You can resolve quite a lot though and at least identify and prioritize more difficult problems. You can then ask for time to keep working on analyzing them in the next sprint planning meeting.

Some teams that do one week sprints hold the retrospective every other week so that they can allocate more time to it.
**Props, what you need**

- A room, preferably not your team room. The idea with the retrospective is to take a step back and look at the situation from some distance. A room at another site is ideal. For a short retrospective that usually is inconvenient, but at least try to get at room away from the daily action.

- A whiteboard, preferably a big one with lots of space in front of it so that the complete team can stand up in front of it during the complete retrospective. Ideally we don’t want people sitting down since standing up creates much more energy, focus and participation.

  Also with a table in front of the board, there will be lots of running around it to get to the board. People will tend to write large batches of notes before putting them up instead of putting them up as they write them. So ideally we would have just an empty room.

- A timer to enforce the time-box of each step.
  If the timer goes off and you did not finish the step, move on anyway. This is the purpose of the time-box. Your job is to practice so that you can get the most out of the allocated time. If you are not happy with the results, just accept that this was what you were capable of today. Think about what you could improve in the meeting and try to do better next time.

- Sticky notes and markers for everyone.
  You may want to get the “super sticky” kind depending on the type of board you use. They should be no smaller than 70x120 mm (or text will be too small to read). You should also get proper pens. Permanent markers with medium size tip’s work fine. Most other pens that people use for personal notes will not be readable from a distance.

- Flip chart to record countermeasures and plans.
  Just bring the sheet to the team room after the meeting and hang it on the wall.

- A camera (optional)
  In general there is no need to document everything. Soon there will be another retrospective and then the issues that matters most at that time will be raised again. If you want to continue working on a tricky issue later, perhaps using cause-effect analysis and/or the A3 process, a picture of results so far can be useful though.
Facilitation tips

Before the team arrives to the meeting, prepare a list of the steps in the procedure as well as the time-box for each one on a flipchart. Plan the whiteboard space, make sure you have space for the timeline, good and “could be improved” sections.

When introducing this to your team, get their consent before trying the new approach:

- “I would like us to try this process, would you be willing to do that?”

Also ask permission at the beginning of the meeting to facilitate it:

- “I would like us to try to follow the rules to see how it feels like if we do it according to this procedure, so is it ok if I sometimes interrupt you if we start moving outside this format?”

If you get permission by the team, you can start facilitating the meeting.

Don’t explain the whole framework in the beginning; just get started as quickly as possible. Half a minute should be enough for you to get permission as explained above. Then explain the purpose of each step as you go along. Don’t talk a lot at the beginning of the meeting; people will start to doze off if you do …

When explaining the timeline exercise, lead by example. Write a demonstration note in big readable capital letters, tear it off (sideways without bending it, so that it will stay up better), put it on the wall and read it out.

During timeline, and the steps following that, have some patience and eventually it will get started. If people run out of ideas when there is a lot of time left, prepare some areas of events that you can suggest to try to spark some more memories. Here’s a short list from the top of my head:

- Did you make any deliveries? Finish any stories?
- Any nice quality improvements?
- Did you talk to users, what did they say?
- Did you learn something?
- How did the team work together?
- Were you disturbed?
- What other problems were there?

During the early parts of the meeting, people sometimes start talking about causes to problems and solutions to problems. Here are two suggestions on how to handle that:

- “Excuse me! This is a great discussion, but could we postpone it until later in this meeting, when we have all issues on the table and have prioritized what to work on? I just want to make sure we spend our time focusing on the issue we select as most important.”

- “Excuse me; I would like us to stick to the rule that only the person at the board talks. Is that ok?”
What if it is not working?
If you are not getting the results you were hoping for you should figure out why before giving up.

Are you sure that you are running the retrospective in the way explained here? If you are not, you should consider practicing until you know how to do it exactly like that. Why? Because until you do, you have not really tried it. You haven’t gained any experience on what this particular format can do for you and you have no basis for evaluating it.

When you are sure you are doing it like intended, you can go ahead and evaluate it, compare it with other approaches and adapt it as you feel needed. You need to master it first though.

If you still are having problems, look at what you are doing. What are the problems that you perceive?. What could be the root cause of these problems? What could be some things to try to sort out those problems?

Credits
The contents of this paper are based on ideas from many sources, some have been mentioned above. I probably don’t remember where I picked up everything, but here are two sources that I have not mentioned so far. First, I learned a lot about the purpose of each step from a talk that Boris Gloger made at QCon London 2007, you can watch the video online (6). I’m sure some of it comes from the standard book on retrospectives, “Agile Retrospectives: Making Good Teams Great”, by Esther Derby and Diana Larsen (7).

Most of all, Credit goes to all the teams that allowed me to work with them during their retrospectives to try these ideas out.

Questions, feedback
If you have any questions about this paper, feel free to contact me at henrik@cedur.se. I will do my best to answer promptly.

If people find this first paper in the “Scrum Skills Series” useful, I plan to write similar papers for a variety of topics that has to do with starting up an agile team and keep it evolving.

So if you found the paper useful, or/and have suggestions for improvements, please let me know also!

Future releases in this series will be announced in my blog at www.cedur.se/agile-blog.

Thank you for reading this far and good luck with your retrospectives!
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